

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD**

**ALLE PROCESSING CORP.  
d/b/a MEAL MART**

**and**

**Case 29-CA-213963**

**TRAVERSE JOEL WILSON**

**ORDER<sup>1</sup>**

The Employer's Petition to Revoke investigative subpoena duces tecum B-1-11CQJ3J is denied. The subpoena seeks information relevant to the matters under investigation and describes with sufficient particularity the evidence sought, as required by Section 11(1) of the Act and Section 102.31(b) of the Board's Rules and Regulations. Further, the Petitioner has failed to establish any other legal basis for revoking the subpoena.<sup>2</sup> See generally, *NLRB v. North Bay Plumbing, Inc.*, 102 F.3d 1005 (9th Cir. 1996); *NLRB v. Carolina Food Processors, Inc.*, 81 F.3d 507 (4th Cir. 1996).

Dated, Washington, D.C., October 30, 2018.

|                     |          |
|---------------------|----------|
| JOHN F. RING,       | CHAIRMAN |
| MARVIN E. KAPLAN,   | MEMBER   |
| WILLIAM J. EMANUEL, | MEMBER   |

---

<sup>1</sup> The National Labor Relations Board has delegated its authority in this proceeding to a three-member panel.

<sup>2</sup> To the extent that the subpoena encompasses some documents that the Employer has already produced, it need not produce those documents again, provided that the Employer accurately describes which documents it has already provided, states whether they constitute all of the requested documents, and provides all of the subpoenaed information. With respect to documents not in the Employer's possession, it must produce such documents if they are in the Employer's custody or control, as set forth in paragraph "k" of the subpoena's instructions.